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## What is GMRES?

Throughout the presentation, we focus on the Generalized Minimal RESidual (GMRES) algorithm.

Algorithm: $\operatorname{GMRES}\left(A, b, x_{0}, \tau\right)$
Require: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, b, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$
1:
2: $r_{0}=b-A x_{0}$
3: $\beta=\left\|r_{0}\right\|, v_{1}=r_{0} / \beta, k=1$
4: repeat
5: $\quad w_{k}=A v_{k}$
6:
7: $\quad$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ do
8: $\quad h_{i, k}=v_{i}^{\top} w_{k}$
9: $\quad w_{k}=w_{k}-h_{i, k} v_{i}$
10: end for
11: $\quad h_{k+1, k}=\left\|w_{k}\right\|, v_{k+1}=w_{k} / h_{k+1, k}$
12: $\quad V_{k}=\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right]$
13: $\quad H_{k}=\left\{h_{i, j}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq j+1 ; 1 \leq j \leq k}$
14: $\quad y_{k}=\operatorname{argmin}_{y}\left\|\beta e_{1}-H_{k} y\right\|$
15: $k=k+1$
16: until $\left\|\beta e_{1}-H_{k} y_{k}\right\| \leq \tau$
17: $x_{k}=x_{0}+V_{k} y_{k}$
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## GMRES comes in many flavors

## Preconditioning

GMRES might converge too slowly. It is essential to use a preconditioner $M$ that transforms $A x=b$ into an "easier" linear system to solve.

$$
M^{-1} A x=M^{-1} b \quad \text { (left), } \quad A u=b, \quad u=M x \quad \text { (right) }
$$

More possibilities: split preconditioning, non-constant preconditioners (FGMRES).
Example of M: ILU, polynomial, block Jacobi, approximate inverse, an iterative method, ...

## Restart

The cost in memory and execution time of an iteration grows with $k$.
Principle: under a chosen restart criterion, stop the iteration, erase $V_{k}$, restart GMRES with the initial guess $x_{0}=x_{k} \Rightarrow$ Cumulate more iterations while bounding the cost.

## Orthogonalization

The Arnoldi process can be constructed with any orthogonalization procedures: Householder QR, CGS, MGS, CGS2, ...

Warning: Different tradeoffs between numerical stability and performance!

## What is a backward error analysis?

## Backward and forward errors

Even for $k=n$, GMRES computed in finite precision won't deliver the exact solution. We quantify the quality of the computed solution $\widehat{x}_{k}$ by the quantities

$$
b w d=\frac{\left\|A \widehat{x}_{k}-b\right\|}{\|A\|\left\|\widehat{x}_{k}\right\|+\|b\|}, \quad \quad f w d=\frac{\left\|x-\widehat{x}_{k}\right\|}{\|x\|}
$$

"The process of bounding the backward error of a computed solution is called backward error analysis" N. J. Higham, Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms.

Why we care?
> Formal proof that the computed solution will always be correct.
> Reveals how each operation contributes to the final accuracy of the computed solution.
> Is needed to derive a backward error analysis of an algorithm using GMRES.

## Existing backward error analysis of GMRES

Bounding the backward and forward error of GMRES is NOT EASY:

- GMRES is a complex algorithm made of different sub-algorithms
$\rightarrow$ we need a backward error analysis on every sub-algorithm.
- GMRES is an iterative process, bounds on the errors are only valid from a certain $k \rightarrow$ we need to prove the existence of $k$ where the errors are satisfying.
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## Our experience of using these analyses

In a previous work of mine:
E "Five-Precision GMRES-based iterative refinement" by P. Amestoy, A. Buttari, N. J. Higham, J-Y L’Excellent, T. Mary, B. Vieublé, Preprint.

We needed a result on the backward stability of MGS GMRES left-preconditioned by LU factors computed in low precision.

PROBLEM: The previous backward error analysis of MGS-GMRES does not hold with left-preconditioner and it CANNOT be straightforwardly adapted.
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We needed a result on the backward stability of MGS GMRES left-preconditioned by LU factors computed in low precision.

PROBLEM: The previous backward error analysis of MGS-GMRES does not hold with left-preconditioner and it CANNOT be straightforwardly adapted.
$\Rightarrow$ Because of this tiny change, we had to REDO the analysis for this specific variant of GMRES!
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```
    A plethora of preconditioners...
` Four ways to apply them: left, right, split, flexible.
X Restart or not.
X Possible orthogonalization methods: CGS, MGS, CGS2, Householder, ...
 All the "more exotic" techniques: recycling, randomization, mixed
precision, compression of the basis, ...
\(\Rightarrow\) An almost infinite number of variants...
```
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... BUT only a tiny subset of them are covered by the previous analyses.

In addition:
> These analyses were not made to be modular $\Rightarrow$ Changing one
element requires redoing a big part of the analysis.
> They are very smart, long, and hard $\Rightarrow$ Understanding and adapting them is a challenge.

## Consequences:

- A few GMRES variants have error bounds on their computed solution
> Bounding errors of a new variant is inconvenient and tedious.
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## Toward a generic and modular tool

Can we provide an analysis...
$>\ldots$ that gives the sharpest error bounds?
> ... that is generic enough to cover "a lot" of possible GMRES variants (i.e., different preconditioners, orthogonalization, restart, mixed precision, ...)?
> ... that is modular (if you change the preconditioner you do not need to redo all the analysis)?

- ... that is easy to use to some extent?
$\Rightarrow$ We aim to propose a modular and generic backward error analysis tool for GMRES.


## Generic GMRES: an abstract algorithm

Algorithm: GEN-GMRES $\left(A, b, M_{l}, k\right)$
1: Initialize $Z_{k}=\left[Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}\right]$.
2: Compute $C_{k}=\widetilde{A} Z_{k}$ where $\widetilde{A}=M_{l}^{-1} A$.
3: Compute $\widetilde{b}=M_{l}^{-1} b$.
4: Solve $y_{k}=\operatorname{argmin}_{y}\left\|\widetilde{b}-C_{k} y\right\|$.
5: Compute the approximant $x_{k}=Z_{k} y_{k}$.
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Principle: Finding $x_{k} \in \operatorname{span}\left\{Z_{k}\right\}$ minimizing the left-preconditioned residual $\|\widetilde{b}-\widetilde{A} x\|$.
> Do not assume Arnoldi process.
> Not presented as an iterative process.
> $Z_{k}$ can be any basis of rank $k$.
> Little assumptions on the operations.
> Can be seen as a left-preconditioned Flexible GMRES where the left-preconditioner $M_{l}$, the preconditioned basis $Z_{k}$, and the least squares solver are not specified.
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Specialization to:
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Specialization to:
Algorithm: MGS GMRES with flexible LU preconditioner
1: Consider the preconditioned Arnoldi basis $Z_{k}=U \backslash\left\langle\widehat{V}_{k}\right.$.
2: Compute $C_{k}=A Z_{k}$.
3:
4: Solve $y_{k}=\operatorname{argmin}_{y}\left\|b-A Z_{k} y\right\|$ by MGS Arnoldi.
5: Compute the approximant $x_{k}=Z_{k} y_{k}$.
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GEN-GMRES is an abstract generic algorithm that can be specialized to many GMRES algorithms $\Rightarrow$ Any result on GEN-GMRES holds for its specializations.

Our goal: Make a backward error analysis of GEN-GMRES.

One analysis to rule them all!

## Generic rounding error model

The terms $\epsilon_{\tilde{\AA}}, \epsilon_{b}, \epsilon_{L S}$, and $\epsilon_{Z}$ quantify the accuracies of every operation and are unspecified. They are only specified for a given specialization of GEN-GMRES.

Matrix-matrix product with the basis (step 2)

$$
\mathrm{fl}\left(\widetilde{A} Z_{k}\right)=\widetilde{A} Z_{k}+\Delta_{\tilde{A} Z_{k}}, \quad\left\|\Delta_{\tilde{A} Z_{k}}\right\| \leq \epsilon_{\tilde{A}}\left\|\widetilde{A} Z_{k}\right\| .
$$

## Preconditioned RHS (step 3)

$$
f 1\left(M_{l}^{-1} b\right)=\widetilde{b}+\Delta \widetilde{b}, \quad\|\Delta \widetilde{b}\| \leq \epsilon_{b}\|\widetilde{b}\| .
$$

Least squares solution (step 4)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\widehat{y}_{k}=\operatorname{argmin}_{y} \| \widetilde{b}+\Delta b^{\prime}-(f)\left(A Z_{k}\right)+\Delta_{\tilde{A} Z_{k}}^{\prime}\right) \| \\
\left\|\left[\Delta{\widetilde{b^{\prime}}}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\tilde{A} Z_{k}}^{\prime}\right] e_{j}\right\| \leq \epsilon_{\llcorner S}\left\|\left[\widetilde{b}, \mathrm{fI}\left(A Z_{k}\right)\right] e_{j}\right\|
\end{gathered}
$$

Compute the $k$ th approximant (step 5)

$$
\widehat{x}_{k}=\mathrm{fI}\left(Z_{k} \widehat{y}_{k}\right)=\left(Z_{k}+\Delta Z_{k}\right) \widehat{y}_{k}, \quad\left\|\Delta Z_{k}\right\| \leq \epsilon_{z}\left\|Z_{k}\right\|
$$

## A key dimension(/iteration)

We need to define the special dimension(/iteration) $k$ at which we can demonstrate that the computed solution has attained a satisfying error.

## Key dimension

We define the key dimension $k$ as the first $k \leq n$ such that, for all $\phi>0$, we have

$$
\sigma_{\min }\left(\left[\tilde{b} \phi, \widetilde{A} Z_{k}\right]\right) \leq \epsilon_{\llcorner\stackrel{L}{ }}\left\|\left[\widetilde{b} \phi, \widetilde{A} Z_{k}\right]\right\|_{F}
$$

and

$$
\sigma_{\min }\left(\widetilde{A} Z_{k}\right) \gg\left(\epsilon_{\tilde{A}}+\epsilon_{\mathrm{b}}+\epsilon_{\llcorner\mathrm{L}}\right)\left\|\tilde{A} Z_{k}\right\|_{F}
$$

The philosophy of these conditions is to capture the exact moment where $\widetilde{b}$ lies in the range of $\widetilde{A} Z_{k}$, which is the moment where the basis $Z_{k}$ contains the solution.

E "Modified Gram-Schmidt (mgs), least squares, and backward stability of MGS-GMRES" by C. C. Paige, M. Rozložník, and Z. Strakoš, 2006, SIAM SIMAX.

## Error bounds of GEN-GMRES

## Theorem

Consider the solution of a nonsingular linear system

$$
A x=b, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad 0 \neq b \in \mathbb{R}^{n},
$$

with GEN-GMRES under the previous error model. If there exists a key dimension $k$ as defined previously, then, GEN-GMRES produces a computed solution $\widehat{x}_{k}$ whose backward and forward error satisfies respectively

$$
\frac{\left\|b-A \widehat{x}_{k}\right\|}{\|b\|+\|A\|\left\|\widehat{x}_{k}\right\|} \lesssim \Phi \kappa\left(M_{l}\right), \quad \frac{\left\|\widehat{x}_{k}-x\right\|}{\|x\|} \lesssim \Phi \kappa(\widetilde{A})
$$

where

$$
\Phi \equiv \alpha \epsilon_{\tilde{A}}+\beta \epsilon_{\mathrm{b}}+\beta \epsilon_{\mathrm{LS}}+\lambda \epsilon_{Z}
$$

with

$$
\alpha \equiv \sigma_{\min }^{-1}\left(Z_{k}\right) \frac{\left\|\widetilde{A} Z_{k}\right\|}{\|\widetilde{A}\|}, \quad \beta \equiv \max \left(1, \sigma_{\min }^{-1}\left(Z_{k}\right) \frac{\left\|\widetilde{A} Z_{k}\right\|}{\|\widetilde{A}\|}\right), \quad \lambda \equiv \sigma_{\min }^{-1}\left(Z_{k}\right)\left\|Z_{k}\right\| .
$$

## How to use?

How to use the previous result to derive forward and backward error bounds for real GMRES algorithms?
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$>$ Determine $\epsilon_{\widetilde{A}}, \epsilon_{\mathrm{b}}, \epsilon_{\llcorner S}$, and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{z}}$. The difficulty of this step varies according to the existing literature of the methods used.
> Show the existence of the key dimension. The difficulty also varies according to the existing literature.
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How to use the previous result to derive forward and backward error bounds for real GMRES algorithms?

Using the previous theorem requires some work:
> Show that your algorithm is a specialization of GEN-GMRES.
$>$ Determine $\epsilon_{\widetilde{A}}, \epsilon_{\mathrm{b}}, \epsilon_{\llcorner S}$, and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{z}}$. The difficulty of this step varies according to the existing literature of the methods used.
> Show the existence of the key dimension. The difficulty also varies according to the existing literature.

This Theorem is backward compatible with the previous analyses: Applying it on Householder GMRES, MGS GMRES, and Flexible MGS GMRES gives the same results as the existing analyses.

## Error model for restarted GEN-GMRES

```
Algorithm: Restarted GEN-GMRES \(\left(A, b, M_{l}\right)\)
    1: Initialize \(x_{0}\)
    2: repeat
    3: \(\quad\) Compute \(r_{i}=A x_{i}-b\).
    4: \(\quad\) Solve \(A d_{i}=r_{i}\) with GEN-GMRES.
    5: Compute the approximant \(x_{i+1}=x_{i}+d_{i}\).
    6: until convergence
```


## Error model for restarted GEN-GMRES

Algorithm: Restarted GEN-GMRES $\left(A, b, M_{l}\right)$
1: Initialize $x_{0}$
2: repeat
3: $\quad$ Compute $r_{i}=A x_{i}-b$.
4: Solve $A d_{i}=r_{i}$ with GEN-GMRES.
5: $\quad$ Compute the approximant $x_{i+1}=x_{i}+d_{j}$.
6: until convergence

Residual computation (step 3)

$$
\widehat{r}_{i}=b-A \widehat{x}_{i}+\Delta r_{i}, \quad\left|\Delta r_{i}\right| \leq \epsilon_{\mathrm{R}}\left(|b|+|A|\left|\widehat{x}_{i}\right|\right) .
$$

Restart update (step 5)

$$
\widehat{x}_{i+1}=\widehat{x}_{i}+\widehat{d}_{i}+\Delta x_{i}, \quad\left|\Delta x_{i}\right| \leq \epsilon_{\cup}\left|\widehat{x}_{i+1}\right| .
$$

## Mixed precision introduction

Commonly available arithmetics
ID Signif. bits Exp. bits Range Unit roundoff $u$

| fp128 | Q | 113 | 15 | $10^{ \pm 4932}$ | $1 \times 10^{-34}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| double-fp64 | DD | 107 | 11 | $10^{ \pm 308}$ | $6 \times 10^{-33}$ |
| fp64 | $D$ | 53 | 11 | $10^{ \pm 308}$ | $1 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| fp32 | S | 24 | 8 | $10^{ \pm 38}$ | $6 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| tfloat32 | $T$ | 11 | 8 | $10^{ \pm 38}$ | $5 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| fp16 | $H$ | 11 | 5 | $10^{ \pm 5}$ | $5 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| bfloat16 | $B$ | 8 | 8 | $10^{ \pm 38}$ | $4 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| fp8 (E4M3) | $R$ | 4 | 4 | $10^{ \pm 2}$ | $6.3 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| fp8 (E5M2) | $R^{\star}$ | 3 | 5 | $10^{ \pm 5}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ |

## Mixed precision introduction

Commonly available arithmetics

|  | ID | Signif. bits | Exp. bits | Range | Unit roundoff $u$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| fp128 | Q | 113 | 15 | $10^{ \pm 4932}$ | $1 \times 10^{-34}$ |
| double-fp64 | DD | 107 | 11 | $10^{ \pm 308}$ | $6 \times 10^{-33}$ |
| fp64 | D | 53 | 11 | $10^{ \pm 308}$ | $1 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| fp32 | S | 24 | 8 | $10^{ \pm 38}$ | $6 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| tfloat32 | $T$ | 11 | 8 | $10^{ \pm 38}$ | $5 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| fp16 | $H$ | 11 | 5 | $10^{ \pm 5}$ | $5 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| bfloat16 | $B$ | 8 | 8 | $10^{ \pm 38}$ | $4 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| fp8 (E4M3) | R | 4 | 4 | $10^{ \pm 2}$ | $6.3 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| fp8 (E5M2) | $R^{*}$ | 3 | 5 | $10^{ \pm 5}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ |

The low precision arithmetics are less accurate BUT are faster, consumes less memory and energy.

## Specialization to mixed precision GMRES

Algorithm: Restart loop

```
1: Compute A}\approx\widehat{LU
    2: repeat
    3:}\quad\mp@subsup{x}{i+1}{}=\operatorname{GMRES}(A,\widehat{LU},b,\mp@subsup{x}{i}{},\tau
    4: until convergence
```

$u_{f}$

Algorithm: GMRES(A, $\left.\widehat{L} U, b, x_{0}, \tau\right)$
Require: $A, M^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, b, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$
1: $r_{0}=b-A x$
2: $s_{0}=\widehat{U} \backslash \widehat{L} \backslash r_{0}$
$\square$
$\beta=\left\|s_{0}\right\|, v_{1}=s_{0} / \beta, k=1$
4: repeat
5: $\quad z_{k}=A v_{k}$
$w_{k}=\widehat{U} \backslash \widehat{L} \backslash z_{k}$
for $i=1, \ldots, k$ do
$h_{i, k}=v_{i}^{\top} w_{k}$
$w_{k}=w_{k}-h_{i, k} v_{i}$
end for
$h_{k+1, k}=\left\|w_{k}\right\|, v_{k+1}=w_{k} / h_{k+1, k} u_{g}$
$V_{k}=\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right]$
$H_{k}=\left\{h_{i, j}\right\}_{1<i<j+1 ; 1<j<k}$
$y_{k}=\operatorname{argmin}_{y}\left\|\beta e_{1}-H_{k} y\right\|$
$k=k+1$
until $\left\|\beta e_{1}-H_{k} y_{k}\right\| \leq \tau$
$x_{k}=x_{0}+V_{k} y_{k}$

## Specialization to mixed precision GMRES

Algorithm: Restart loop
1: Compute $A \approx \widehat{L U}$
$u_{f}$
2: repeat
3: $\quad x_{i+1}=\operatorname{GMRES}\left(A, \widehat{L U}, b, x_{i}, \tau\right)$
4: until convergence

- Restarted LU-left-preconditioned GMRES with MGS Arnoldi.

Algorithm: GMRES(A, $\left.\widehat{L U}, b, x_{0}, \tau\right)$
Require: $A, M^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, b, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$
1: $r_{0}=b-A x$
2: $s_{0}=\widehat{U} \backslash \widehat{L} \backslash r_{0}$
$\square$
$u_{p}$
3: $\beta=\left\|s_{0}\right\|, v_{1}=s_{0} / \beta, k=1$
4: repeat
5:
6:
7: $\quad$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ do
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end for
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$y_{k}=\operatorname{argmin}_{y}\left\|\beta e_{1}-H_{k} y\right\|$
$k=k+1$
until $\left\|\beta e_{1}-H_{k} y_{k}\right\| \leq \tau$
$x_{k}=x_{0}+V_{k} y_{k}$

## Specialization to mixed precision GMRES

Algorithm: Restart loop
1: Compute $A \approx \widehat{L U}$
$u_{f}$
2: repeat
3: $\quad x_{i+1}=\operatorname{GMRES}\left(A, \widehat{L U}, b, x_{i}, \tau\right)$
4: until convergence

- Restarted LU-left-preconditioned GMRES with MGS Arnoldi.
$>5$ precisions: $u_{f} \geq u_{g} \geq u_{p} \geq u \geq u_{r}$.
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1: $r_{0}=b-A x$
2: $s_{0}=\widehat{U} \backslash \widehat{L} \backslash r_{0}$
$\square$
$u_{p}$
3: $\beta=\left\|s_{0}\right\|, v_{1}=s_{0} / \beta, k=1$
4: repeat
5:
6:
7: $\quad$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ do
$h_{i, k}=v_{i}^{\top} w_{k}$
$w_{k}=w_{k}-h_{i, k} v_{i}$
end for
$h_{k+1, k}=\left\|w_{k}\right\|, v_{k+1}=w_{k} / h_{k+1, k} u_{g}$
$v_{k}=\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right]$
$H_{k}=\left\{h_{i, j}\right\}_{1<i<j+1 ; i<j<k}$
$y_{k}=\operatorname{argmin}_{y}\left\|\beta e_{1}-H_{k} y\right\|$
$k=k+1$
until $\left\|\beta e_{1}-H_{k} y_{k}\right\| \leq \tau$
$x_{k}=x_{0}+V_{k} y_{k}$

## Specialization to mixed precision GMRES

Algorithm: Restart loop
1: Compute $A \approx \widehat{L U}$
$u_{f}$
2: repeat
3: $\quad x_{i+1}=\operatorname{GMRES}\left(A, \widehat{L U}, b, x_{i}, \tau\right)$
4: until convergence

- Restarted LU-left-preconditioned GMRES with MGS Arnoldi.
$\rightarrow 5$ precisions: $u_{f} \geq u_{g} \geq u_{p} \geq u \geq u_{r}$.
- Aims to compute a solution to accuracy u.

Algorithm: GMRES(A, $\left.\widehat{L}, b, x_{0}, \tau\right)$
Require: $A, M^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, b, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$
1: $r_{0}=b-A x$
2: $s_{0}=\widehat{U} \backslash \widehat{L} \backslash r_{0}$
$u_{r}$
:
3: $\beta=\left\|s_{0}\right\|, v_{1}=s_{0} / \beta, k=1$
4: repeat
5:
6:
7: $\quad$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ do
$h_{i, k}=v_{i}^{\top} w_{k}$
$w_{k}=w_{k}-h_{i, k} v_{i}$
end for
$h_{k+1, k}=\left\|w_{k}\right\|, v_{k+1}=w_{k} / h_{k+1, k} u_{g}$
$V_{k}=\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right]$
$H_{k}=\left\{h_{i, j}\right\}_{1<i<j+1 ; 1<j<k}$
$y_{k}=\operatorname{argmin}_{y}\left\|\beta e_{1}-H_{k} y\right\|$
$k=k+1$
until $\left\|\beta e_{1}-H_{k} y_{k}\right\| \leq \tau$
$x_{k}=x_{0}+V_{k} y_{k}$

## Specialization to mixed precision GMRES

Algorithm: Restart loop
1: Compute $A \approx \widehat{L U}$ $u_{f}$
2: repeat
3: $\quad x_{i+1}=\operatorname{GMRES}\left(A, \widehat{L U}, b, x_{i}, \tau\right)$
4: until convergence

- Restarted LU-left-preconditioned GMRES with MGS Arnoldi.
$\rightarrow 5$ precisions: $u_{f} \geq u_{g} \geq u_{p} \geq u \geq u_{r}$.
- Aims to compute a solution to accuracy u.
> GMRES iterations and costly preconditioner computed in low precisions ( $u_{g}, u_{f}$, and $u_{p}$ ).

Algorithm: GMRES(A, $\left.\widehat{L}, b, x_{0}, \tau\right)$
Require: $A, M^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, b, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$
1: $r_{0}=b-A x$
2: $s_{0}=\widehat{U} \backslash \widehat{L} \backslash r_{0}$
$u_{r}$
3. $\beta=\left\|s_{0}\right\| v_{1}$
$\beta=\left\|s_{0}\right\|, v_{1}=s_{0} / \beta, k=1$
4: repeat
5:
6:
7: $\quad$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ do
$h_{i, k}=v_{i}^{\top} w_{k}$
$w_{k}=w_{k}-h_{i, k} v_{i}$
end for
$h_{k+1, k}=\left\|w_{k}\right\|, v_{k+1}=w_{k} / h_{k+1, k} u_{g}$
$v_{k}=\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right]$
$H_{k}=\left\{h_{i, j}\right\}_{1<i<j+1 ; 1<j<k}$
$y_{k}=\operatorname{argmin}_{y}\left\|\beta e_{1}-H_{k} y\right\|$
$k=k+1$
until $\left\|\beta e_{1}-H_{k} y_{k}\right\| \leq \tau$
$x_{k}=x_{0}+V_{k} y_{k}$

## Specialization to mixed precision GMRES

Algorithm: Restart loop
1: Compute $A \approx \widehat{L U}$ $u_{f}$
2: repeat
3: $\quad x_{i+1}=\operatorname{GMRES}\left(A, \widehat{L U}, b, x_{i}, \tau\right)$
4: until convergence
> Restarted LU-left-preconditioned GMRES with MGS Arnoldi.
$\rightarrow 5$ precisions: $u_{f} \geq u_{g} \geq u_{p} \geq u \geq u_{r}$.
> Aims to compute a solution to accuracy u.
> GMRES iterations and costly preconditioner computed in low precisions ( $u_{g}, u_{f}$, and $u_{p}$ ).
> Restart computed in high precisions to recover accuracy ( $u$ and $u_{r}$ ).

Algorithm: GMRES(A, $\left.\widehat{L}, b, x_{0}, \tau\right)$
Require: $A, M^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, b, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$
1: $r_{0}=b-A x$
2: $s_{0}=\widehat{U} \backslash \widehat{L} \backslash r_{0}$
$u_{r}$
3. $\beta=\left\|s_{0}\right\| v_{1}$
$\beta=\left\|s_{0}\right\|, v_{1}=s_{0} / \beta, k=1$
4: repeat

5:
6:
7: $\quad$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ do
$h_{i, k}=v_{i}^{\top} w_{k}$
$w_{k}=w_{k}-h_{i, k} v_{i}$
end for
$h_{k+1, k}=\left\|w_{k}\right\|, v_{k+1}=w_{k} / h_{k+1, k} u_{g}$
$V_{k}=\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right]$
$H_{k}=\left\{h_{i, j}\right\}_{1<i<j+1 ; 1<j<k}$
$y_{k}=\operatorname{argmin}_{y}\left\|\beta e_{1}-H_{k} y\right\|$
$k=k+1$
until $\left\|\beta e_{1}-H_{k} y_{k}\right\| \leq \tau$
$x_{k}=x_{0}+V_{k} y_{k}$

| $z_{k}=A v_{k}$ | $u_{p}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $w_{k}=\widehat{U} \backslash \widehat{L} \backslash z_{k}$ | $u_{p}$ |

11:
12:
13:
14:
15:
16:
17:

## Stability of restarted left-preconditioned GMRES

Using the theorem on restarted GEN-GMRES on the previous algorithm delivers the following stability result.

## Theorem

Let $A x=b$ be solved by the previous mixed precision restarted LU-left-preconditioned GMRES. Provided that

$$
\kappa(A) u_{p}<1 \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{\min }(\widetilde{A}) \gg\left(u_{p} \kappa(A)+u_{g}\right)\|\widetilde{A}\| \text {, }
$$

the forward error

$$
\frac{\|\hat{x}-x\|}{\|x\|} \leq n u_{r} \operatorname{cond}(A, x)+u \quad \text { if } \quad\left(u_{g}+u_{p} \kappa(A)\right)\left(1+\kappa(A)^{2} u_{f}^{2}\right) \ll 1
$$

and the backward error

$$
\frac{\|A \hat{x}-b\|}{\|A\|\|x\|+\|b\|} \leq n u_{r}+u, \quad \text { if } \quad\left(u_{g}+u_{p} \kappa(A)\right)\left(1+\kappa(A) u_{f}\right) \kappa(A) \ll 1 .
$$

E "Five-Precision GMRES-based Iterative Refinement" by P. R. Amestoy, A. Buttari, N. J. Higham, J-Y. L’Excellent, T. Mary, B. Vieublé, Preprint.

## Foretaste of performance study on real-life applications

| Name | N | NNZ | Arith. | Sym. | $\kappa(A)$ | Fact. <br> (flops) | Slv. <br> (flops) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ElectroPhys10M | $1.02 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $9 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| DrivAer6M | $6.11 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.97 \mathrm{E}+07$ | R | 1 | $9.40 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $3 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| Queen_4147 | $4.14 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.28 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $4.30 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $6 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| tminlet3M | $2.84 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+08$ | C | 0 | $2.70 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| perf009ar | $5.41 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $3.70 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| elasticity-3d | $5.18 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $3.60 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $5 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| lfm_aug5M | $5.52 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.71 \mathrm{E}+07$ | C | 1 | $5.80 \mathrm{E}+11$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $5 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| CarBody25M | $2.44 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $7.06 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $8.60 \mathrm{E}+12$ | $1 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $3 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| thmgas | $5.53 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.71 \mathrm{E}+07$ | R | 0 | $8.28 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $1 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $4 \mathrm{E}+10$ |

Set of industrial and SuiteSparse matrices.
> The matrices are ordered in increasing $\kappa(A)$, the higher $\kappa(A)$ is, the slower the convergence (if reached at all).

## Foretaste of performance study on real-life applications

| Name | N | NNZ | Arith. | Sym. | $\kappa(\mathrm{A})$ | Fact. <br> (flops) | Slv. <br> (flops) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ElectroPhys10M | $1.02 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $9 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| DrivAer6M | $6.11 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.97 \mathrm{E}+07$ | R | 1 | $9.40 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $3 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| Queen_4147 | $4.14 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.28 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $4.30 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $6 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| tminlet3M | $2.84 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+08$ | C | 0 | $2.70 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| perf009ar | $5.41 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $3.70 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| elasticity-3d | $5.18 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $3.60 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $5 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| lfm_aug5M | $5.52 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.71 \mathrm{E}+07$ | C | 1 | $5.80 \mathrm{E}+11$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $5 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| CarBody25M | $2.44 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $7.06 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $8.60 \mathrm{E}+12$ | $1 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $3 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| thmgas | $5.53 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.71 \mathrm{E}+07$ | R | 0 | $8.28 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $1 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $4 \mathrm{E}+10$ |

Set of industrial and SuiteSparse matrices.
> We run on OLYMPE supercomputer nodes (two Intel 18-cores Skylake/node), 1 node ( $2 \mathrm{MPI} \times 18$ threads) or 2 nodes ( $4 \mathrm{MPI} \times 18$ threads) depending on the matrix size.

## Foretaste of performance study on real-life applications

| Name | N | NNZ | Arith. | Sym. | $\kappa(\mathrm{A})$ | Fact. <br> (flops) | Slv. <br> (flops) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| ElectroPhys 10 M | $1.02 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $9 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| DrivAer6M | $6.11 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.97 \mathrm{E}+07$ | R | 1 | $9.40 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $3 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| Queen_4147 | $4.14 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.28 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $4.30 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $6 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| tminlet3M | $2.84 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+08$ | C | 0 | $2.70 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| perf009ar | $5.41 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $3.70 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| elasticity-3d | $5.18 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $3.60 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $5 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| lfm_aug5M | $5.52 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.71 \mathrm{E}+07$ | C | 1 | $5.80 \mathrm{E}+11$ | $2 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $5 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| CarBody25M | $2.44 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $7.06 \mathrm{E}+08$ | R | 1 | $8.60 \mathrm{E}+12$ | $1 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $3 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| thmgas | $5.53 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.71 \mathrm{E}+07$ | R | 0 | $8.28 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $1 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $4 \mathrm{E}+10$ |

Set of industrial and SuiteSparse matrices.
$>u_{p}=u_{g}=u=\mathrm{D}$ and $u_{r}=\mathrm{Q}$.
>LU factors are computed in single precision ( $u_{f}=s$ ), with low-rank approximation and static pivoting.

## Implementation details and design choices

> We use the MUMPS multifrontal sparse solvers for factorization and solve. MUMPS supports BLR, static pivoting, and threshold partial pivoting.
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## Implementation details and design choices

> We use the MUMPS multifrontal sparse solvers for factorization and solve. MUMPS supports BLR, static pivoting, and threshold partial pivoting.
> We cast in-place the factors fully from fp32 to fp64.
> In-house GMRES implementation and SpMV kernel running in parallel on the master MPI process.

## Implementation details and design choices

> We use the MUMPS multifrontal sparse solvers for factorization and solve. MUMPS supports BLR, static pivoting, and threshold partial pivoting.
> We cast in-place the factors fully from fp32 to fp64.
> In-house GMRES implementation and SpMV kernel running in parallel on the master MPI process.

- The MUMPS factorization and solve are distributed over the MPI processes.


## Time and memory performance with BLR w.r.t. DMUMPS
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## Time and memory performance with BLR w.r.t. DMUMPS
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## Time performance with BLR + static pivoting w.r.t. DMUMPS

tminlet3M

```
|aBLR-LU-GMRES-IR
```



## Time performance with BLR + static pivoting w.r.t. DMUMPS

$$
\operatorname{tminlet} 3 \mathrm{M}\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{stc}}=10^{-8}\right)
$$

```
|aBLR-LU-GMRES-IR|aBLR-STC-LU-GMRES-IR
```



## Best time and memory w.r.t. DMUMPS




Compared to a LU direct solver in double precision without approximations and with threshold partial pivoting.
$\Rightarrow$ Up to $5.1 \times$ faster and $4.2 \times$ less memory with the same accuracy on the solution than DMUMPS!

## Best time and memory w.r.t. DMUMPS




E "Combining sparse approximate factorizations with mixed precision iterative refinement" by P. Amestoy, A. Buttari, N. J. Higham, J-Y L'Excellent, T. Mary, B. Vieublé, ACM TOMS.

## Conclusion

## Takeaways

－Many GMRES variants not covered by a backward error analysis．
－We propose a backward error analysis framework to efficiently derive error bounds on new variants．
－We can apply this framework to a five precisions GMRES algo－ rithms．
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